

## **EASTFIELD RESOURCES LTD.**

### **Interim Management Discussion and Analysis For the Quarter Ended November 30, 2009**

---

*The following discussion and analysis of the financial position and results of operations for the Company should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto for the year ended February 29, 2009.*

*The following Interim Management Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") is for the quarter ended November 30, 2009 and includes relevant information up to January 13, 2010 ("Report Date"). Additional information relating to the Company is on SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com).*

*The accompanying financial statements and related notes are presented in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles. These statements, together with the following MD&A dated January 13, 2010, are intended to provide investors with a reasonable basis for assessing the financial performance of the Company as well as forward-looking statements. These statements are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from those implied by the forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date the statements were made, and readers are advised to consider such forward-looking statements in light of the risks set forth below under "Risks and Uncertainties".*

#### **Forward-Looking Information**

This MD&A contains forward-looking statements and information relating to Eastfield Resources Ltd. ("Eastfield" or the "Company") that are based on the beliefs of its management as well as assumptions made by and information currently available to Eastfield as such are used in this document, the words "anticipate", "believe", "estimate", "expect" and similar expressions, as they relate to Eastfield or its management, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. This MD&A contains forward-looking statements relating to, among other things, regulatory compliance, the sufficiency of current working capital, the estimated cost and availability of funding for the continued exploration and development of Eastfield's exploration properties. Such statements reflect the current views of Eastfield with respect to future events and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Many factors could cause the actual results, performance or achievements of Eastfield to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements that may be expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements.

#### **General**

Eastfield is a mineral exploration company engaged primarily in the business of acquiring and exploring properties with a particular focus on base and precious metals. The Company has interest in properties in Canada (British Columbia), and in the United States (Nevada). The Company's properties are currently at an "exploration stage".

#### **Exploration**

J. W. Morton, P. Geo. and G. L. Garratt, P. Geo. are the Qualified Persons for the NI 43-101 compliant disclosure of mineral exploration information in respect of the projects described in this Management Discussion and Analysis.

#### **OK [Okeover] Property (Vancouver Mining Division, B.C.) [Material Property]:**

OK / Okeover is a copper-molybdenum project on claims covering over 5,882 hectares in size and located near Powell River, BC. The drill program in 2008 totaled approximately 1,448 metres in six holes. In 2006, Dr. Nick Carter authored a NI 43-101 compliant resource estimate for the North Lake Zone totaling 86.8 million tonnes grading 0.31% copper and 0.014% MoS<sub>2</sub> (one of several zones of mineralization). The most significant result of the 2008 program was hole OK-08-03, which intersected 45.5 metres grading 0.33% copper and anomalous molybdenum including 12 metres grading 0.41% copper and anomalous molybdenum. This hole was drilled 90 metres to the south of the nearest hole in the North Lake resource area and indicates a potential for further southerly extension for the mineralized zone. Prophecy Resource Corp. is earning a 60% interest in the OK property from Eastfield by incurring \$1,000,000 of mineral exploration expenditures on the property and making cash and/or share payments totaling \$110,000. Prophecy has now satisfied its work obligations to earn its 60% interest and can exercise the option by making the final \$50,000 payment.

#### **Iron Lake Property (Clinton Mining Division, B.C.):**

## EASTFIELD RESOURCES LTD.

### Interim Management Discussion and Analysis For the Quarter Ended November 30, 2009

---

The 7,116 hectare Iron Lake property is 45 kilometres northeast of 100 Mile House, in the Cariboo region of British Columbia. The June, 2009 program utilized an excavator to expose bedrock in areas of widespread geochemical and geophysical anomalies in part defined by a soil and rock sampling programs completed in 2007 and 2008.

Iron Lake is optioned to Cobre Exploration Ltd. ("Cobre") (TSX-V: CXV.H) who have an option to earn a 60% interest in the Iron Lake property by incurring \$1,500,000 in exploration, making \$165,000 in option payments, making \$130,000 in cash payments and/or equivalent in share issuances and issuing 500,000 shares before June 1, 2012. On October 7, 2009, the TSX-Venture Exchange accepted for filing a second amending agreement dated June 9, 2009, between Cobre Exploration Corp. and Eastfield Resources Ltd. The amendment states that Eastfield has agreed to accept 300,000 Cobre shares in lieu of the \$30,000 cash or shares payment and the issuance of 100,000 shares of the company which were due to be paid to Eastfield on June 1, 2009.

The Iron Lake property is underlain by a 5.0 by 7.0 km magnetic high that is interpreted to outline a large multiphase ultramafic intrusive complex. Geochemical sampling to date has covered less than half of this complex and has outlined several large anomalies for copper, platinum and palladium. At least three of these anomalies are 1.0 km in length and follow-up exploration by drilling has only been carried out on the most southeasterly anomaly where a massive sulphide body has been discovered coincident with an airborne EM anomaly.

In 2000, disseminated copper-gold-platinum group metals mineralization in seven samples of olivine pyroxenite rubble returned an average value of 0.72% copper, 0.68 g/t gold, 0.31 g/t palladium and platinum, and anomalous values in nickel. The source has not yet been located but will be an important exploration objective of the 2009 program. In 2005, massive sulphide was intersected in two of four holes with hole 05-I-02 intersecting six metres of massive sulphide mineralization. While predominantly pyrrhotite (iron sulphide), individual 1.4 metre massive sulphide sample intervals returned up to 0.95% copper, 0.095 nickel and 0.08% cobalt.

#### **Crowsnest Property (Fort Steele Mining Division, B.C.):**

In September, 2009 MAX Resource Corp. commenced a 900-metre reverse circulation drill program at the Crowsnest gold project in southeastern BC. This program was completed during the first week of October. A total of twenty-six (26) closely-spaced shallow reverse circulation drill holes totaling 799 metres were completed, and two new trenches were excavated and sampled to the west of the original Discovery Trench area (where MAX sampled gossan mineralization in June that returned an assay of 104 g/t gold) extending the known mineralized zone by 100 metres. The program was designed to provide detailed insight concerning the extent of the high grade mineralization and to define the direction and extent of the mineralized structure.

Significant gold assays (over 2.0 g/t gold) returned from the 26-hole drill program are listed below:

Hole	Inclination	From (m)	To (m)	Width (m)*	Gold g/t
<b>RC 09-2</b>	-60 degrees	0	3.0	3.0	3.52
Including		0	1.5	1.5	6.67
<b>RC 09-5</b>	vertical	0	3.0	3.0	3.55
Including		1.5	3.0	1.5	6.40
<b>RC 09-6</b>	-60 degrees	0	6.5	6.5	6.34
Including		1.5	3.0	1.5	16.52
<b>RC-09-7</b>	vertical	0	6.1	6.1	19.03
Including		0	1.5	1.5	50.26
Including		1.5	3.0	1.5	23.60
<b>RC-09-9</b>	vertical	0	1.5	1.5	2.49
<b>RC-09-14</b>	vertical	0	1.5	1.5	2.07
<b>RC-09-16</b>	vertical	0	3.0	3.0	5.42
Including		0	1.5	1.5	6.65
<b>RC-09-21</b>	-60 degrees	16.8	18.3	1.5	3.42
<b>RC-09-25</b>	vertical	0	3.0	3.0	2.51
Including		1.5	3.0	1.5	3.14

(\* represents sample length, not true width)

A further six drill holes returned values ranging from 0.5 g/t to 1.86 g/t gold over minimum 1.5 metre intervals. In addition, drill hole RC 09-21 (listed above) intersected a deeper zone grading 3.42 g/t gold further to the south that might indicate a second zone of mineralization for further exploration.

## **EASTFIELD RESOURCES LTD.**

### **Interim Management Discussion and Analysis For the Quarter Ended November 30, 2009**

---

MAX and Eastfield amended the prior Howell agreement to allow MAX to earn a 60% interest in either the Howell project or the Crowsnest project or both over a four-year period. In the initial stage of this earn-in, MAX must make cash payments totaling \$60,000 to Eastfield (of which \$10,000 was paid on signing), issue 100,000 shares to Eastfield (50,000 shares in the first year) and complete aggregate exploration expenditures on both properties of \$400,000 by the second anniversary date (June 30, 2011).

To complete its Howell earn-in, MAX must make further cash payments to Eastfield of \$90,000, issue 400,000 shares and spend a further \$700,001 on exploration at Howell prior to June 30, 2013. MAX will also be responsible for its portion of the \$200,000 payment due to Goldcorp Inc. and Teck Resources by August 31, 2010 pursuant to Eastfield's underlying option agreement with them.

To complete its Crowsnest earn-in, MAX must make further cash payments to Eastfield of \$90,000, issue 400,000 shares and spend a further \$1,050,000 on exploration at Crowsnest prior to June 30, 2013.

#### **Howell Property, (Fort Steele Mining Division, BC):**

On November 21, 2008, the Company and MAX Resource Corp. ("MAX") reported the drilling results at the Howell gold project. The 4,376 hectare Howell property is located in southeast BC, one hour by gravel road south of Fernie, straddling the drainages of Twenty-Nine Mile Creek and Howell Creek. During 2008 the \$1.1 million of exploration work was required for the Company to acquire a 100% interest in the property from Teck and Goldcorp. Max and Eastfield have agreed to amend the prior Howell agreement to allow Max to earn a 60-per-cent interest in either the Howell or the Crowsnest projects over a four-year period by making cash payments totalling \$60,000 to Eastfield (of which \$10,000 was paid on signing), issuing 100,000 shares (50,000 shares in the first year) and by completing exploration expenditures on both properties of \$400,000 by the second anniversary date (June 30, 2011). Following that date, Max can earn its 60-per-cent interest in Howell by making further cash payments totalling \$90,000, issuing 400,000 shares and spending a further \$700,001 on exploration prior to June 30, 2013. Max will also be responsible for its portion of the \$200,000 payment due to Goldcorp Inc. and Teck Cominco Metals Ltd. by Aug. 31, 2010, pursuant to Eastfield's underlying option agreement with them. To earn its 60-per-cent interest in Crowsnest, Max must make further cash payments to Eastfield of \$90,000, issue 400,000 shares and spend a further \$1.05-million on exploration at Crowsnest prior to June 30, 2013.

Twelve holes totaling 1,312 metres of NQ core were completed in 2008, and two new soil grids were established. Two distinct styles of mineralization were targeted; the first being carbonate-hosted gold and the second being carbonate replacement mineralization comprising lead, zinc and silver sulphides, occurring as mantos and replacement lodes in a carbonate host proximal to an intrusion.

At Howell, disseminated gold mineralization occurs in limestone and as quartz stockworks in limestone and syenite intrusives. Earlier drilling results included 1.23 g/t gold over 58 metres, 0.95 g/t gold over 39 metres, 0.65 g/t gold over 82 metres, and 0.57 g/t gold over 149 metres.

#### **Indata Property, (Omineca Mining Division, BC):**

On June 1, 2009, MAX Resource Corp. terminated its option to earn a 60% interest in the Indata property in central B.C., located 120 km north of Fort St. James. On October 23, 2008, the Company and MAX had reported that MAX had completed drilling totaling 1,035 metres at Indata.

There are two exploration targets on the Indata property, a porphyry copper target and a structurally controlled precious metal vein target. The 2008 exploration program consisted of one drill hole (08-I-01) in the porphyry copper target and four holes (08-I-02 to 08-I-05) in the precious metal vein target.

The precious metal target was tested over a distance of 1,500 metres following the upslope trend of an arsenic soil geochemical anomaly believed to define a structural feature which has previously returned a number of gold-silver intercepts, including a 4.0 metre intercept grading 46.20 g/t gold from a 1988 drill program by Eastfield. Diamond drill holes on this target intersected a number of narrow gold and/or silver intercepts with associated arsenic and bismuth values. New exposures of precious metal mineralization which are located 800 to 2,000 metres further to the north were made in 2007 when excavator trenches exposed narrow veins which returned samples including 17.16 g/t gold

## EASTFIELD RESOURCES LTD.

### Interim Management Discussion and Analysis For the Quarter Ended November 30, 2009

---

and 9.84 g/t gold respectively. This area was not tested in the current program, and a robust soil anomaly also discovered in 2007 remains to be tested as well.

#### **Zymo Property, (Skeena Mining Division, BC):**

On September 14, 2009, the Company and NGEx Resources Inc. ("NGQ") (formerly Canadian Gold Hunter Corp.) announced that results had been received from the recently completed drilling program on the Zymo copper-gold property 45 km west of Smithers, BC. Four holes were drilled at the Hobbes Zone and one hole tested a showing in the FM Zone. All holes intersected mineralization. NGQ has an option to earn a 60% interest in the property by completing exploration expenditures of \$4.0 million over five years. NGQ may earn an additional 10% interest by completing a feasibility study and an additional 5% by arranging mine financing for Eastfield.

Three holes (ZY-09-13, 15, 16) drilled at the Hobbes zone were each 100-metre step-outs to the west and resulted in extending the zone which now measures over 600 metres in an east-west direction and remains open-ended. The most westerly hole, ZY-09-16 intersected the longest intersection of mineralization to date indicating potential for extension to the west and south. Hole ZY-09-14 was a vertical hole drilled at the site of ZY-08-9 (72.0 m of 0.72% copper; 0.54 g/t gold) and 10 (57.0 metres of 0.43% copper; 0.32 g/t gold) and confirmed that mineralization continues to greater depths at this location where a mineralized interval of 273 metres was intersected. A summary of significant results is as follows:

HOLE ID	FROM (m)	TO (m)	INTERVAL (m)*	Cu (%)	Au (g/t)
ZY-09-13	3.0	428.0	425.0	0.15	0.09
including	255.0	381.0	126.0	0.20	0.15
ZY-09-14	3.0	276.0	273.0	0.23	0.15
including	9.0	108.0	99.0	0.36	0.25
including	33.0	93.0	60.0	0.44	0.30
ZY-09-15	33.0	441.0	408.0	0.21	0.11
including	87.0	153.0	66.0	0.33	0.20
including	297.0	318.0	21.0	0.31	0.18
ZY-09-16	30.0	369.0	339.0	0.23	0.13
including	139.0	330.0	171.0	0.31	0.18
including	201.0	279.0	78.0	0.41	0.26
ZY-09-17	9.0	42.0	33.0	0.13	0.11

(\* represents sample length along the core, not true width)

The Zymo property is underlain by an alteration/sulphide system of over 8 km long and 2 km wide which hosts several mineralized targets including the Hobbes and FM. Fill-in soil geochemical sampling was carried out on the URC target which lies 1.5 km west of the Hobbes Zone and confirmed a 1.5 km long coincident copper-gold anomaly. This target is beyond the end of the geophysical grid and prospecting found no outcrops however a sample of mineralized float returned 0.33% copper and 0.22 g/t gold. This target further expands the discovery potential for the property.

#### **Kilometre 26 Property (Omineca Mining Division, B.C.):**

The property is located 55 km northwest of Fort St. James and is easily accessed by a major industrial road. It covers approximately 1,840 ha and is centred and extends 15 km along the regional Pinchi Fault Zone. The property was staked to cover the area where, in 1983, Cominco discovered a boulder which repeatedly returned assay grades ranging around 8.1 g/t gold. The Pinchi Fault is a major structural feature that separates distinct geological terranes. With its terrane bounding character, ultramafic intrusive affinities, mercury mineralization and hot spring activity, the Pinchi Fault is analogous to the Melones Fault (Motherlode District) and the Stony Creek Fault (McLaughlin Mine), both in California, and offers potential for hosting major gold deposits. Much of the area is overburden covered. Eastfield has recently reached an agreement, as yet not finally signed, granting an option for another company to fund exploration on Kilometre 26.

On September 21, 2009 the Company granted Oroandes Resource Corp. an option to earn a 60% interest in the property by making \$107,500 of cash payments (\$7,500 received to date), issuing 275,000 shares (25,000 received to date) and incurring \$1,575,000 of exploration on the property.

## EASTFIELD RESOURCES LTD.

### Interim Management Discussion and Analysis For the Quarter Ended November 30, 2009

---

#### Financial Discussion

The financial information in this MD&A has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (Canadian GAAP). The significant accounting policies are outlined in Note 2 to the audited financial statements of the Company. These accounting policies have been applied consistently for the year ended February 28, 2009 and for the quarter ended November 30, 2009.

#### Results of Operations

During the quarter ended November 30, 2009, the Company recorded a net gain of \$30,828, compared to a net loss of \$20,866 for the same quarter in 2008. Expenses of \$33,842 were fairly consistent with the same quarter of the prior year, apart from legal and audit costs of \$7,468 which were incurred in the quarter ended November 30, 2009 and for which there was a comparative expense of \$216 in the same quarter of the prior year. Somewhat higher costs were incurred in the current quarter for Investor relations (\$7,034 compared to \$5,399 in the quarter ended November 30, 2008), reflecting new programs to increase exposure for the Company's projects particularly attending the Prospectors and Developers Association conference in Toronto since an Eastfield project was featured in their "core shack" display. Income was reduced in the current quarter due to the receipt of fewer mineral property options in excess of cumulative costs and fewer realized gains on sales of securities.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company has financed its operations through the sale of its equity securities and through third-party options of the Company's mineral properties. The Company has no producing mineral properties. The Company expects to obtain financing in the future primarily through equity financing. There can be no assurance that the Company will succeed in obtaining additional financing, now or in the future. Failure to raise additional financing or third-party project funding on a timely basis could cause the Company to suspend its operations and eventually to forfeit or sell its interests in its properties.

The Company had a net working capital position of \$793,931 at November 30, 2009 compared to \$684,211 at February 28, 2009, and the Company has sufficient liquidity to meet its 2010 budgeted operating requirements and is able to keep its properties in good standing. Substantially all of the costs of property maintenance are provided by property optionees under the various mineral property option agreements related to the Iron Lake, Km 26, Zymo, Crowsnest and Howell properties.

#### Summary of Quarterly Results

Financial Data for Last Eight Quarters								
Three months ended	Nov-09	Aug-09	May-09	Feb-09	Nov-08	Aug-08	May-08	Feb-08
Total revenues	65,166	1,176	47,875	83,827	5,701	6,549	7,726	(16,597)
Comprehensive gain (loss) for the period	90,987	(20,530)	51,768	43,907	(207,194)	(109,559)	3,684	(66,024)
Basic earnings (loss) per share	0.002	(0.001)	0.001	0.001	(0.005)	(0.003)	0.000	(0.0015)
Diluted earning (loss) per share	0.002	(0.001)	0.001	0.001	(0.005)	(0.003)	0.000	(0.0015)

The financial information referenced above has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (Canadian GAAP). The significant accounting policies are outlined in Note 2 to the audited financial statements of the Company for the year ended February 28, 2009. These accounting policies have been applied consistently for the year ended February 28, 2009 and for the quarter ended November 30, 2009.

#### Outstanding Share Data

A total of 100,000 shares were issued on May 20, 2009 as an option payment for the acquisition of the Zymo mineral property. No shares were issued and no stock options were granted or exercised in the quarter.

	No. of Shares	Exercise Price	Expiry Date
Issued and Outstanding at November 30, 2009	44,594,919		
Employee Stock Options	4,450,000	\$0.10 to \$0.20	Dec. 19/ 10 to

## EASTFIELD RESOURCES LTD.

### Interim Management Discussion and Analysis For the Quarter Ended November 30, 2009

---

			Nov. 9, 2014
Fully diluted at November 30, 2009 and January 13, 2010	49,044,919		

#### Marketable Securities

On November 30, 2009, the Company held the following shares in other companies, which had either been purchased as investments for trading or received as mineral property option payments:

Company	Number of Shares	Share Price (\$) * November 30, 2009	Market Value (\$) Nov. 30, 2009
Cobre Exploration Corp.	600,000	0.035	21,000
Goldrush Resources Ltd.	84,237	0.055	4,633
Lorraine Copper Corp.	269,120	0.065	17,493
Lysander Minerals Corp.	55,000	0.34	18,700
Cariboo Rose Resources Ltd.	135,000	0.20	27,000
Fjordland Exploration Inc.	60,000	0.135	8,100
Skygold Ventures Ltd.	160,000	0.25	40,000
Max Resource Corp.	79,000	0.48	37,920
Totals			174,846

\* - closing price as at November 30, 2009

During the quarter the Company 300,000 shares of Cobre Exploration Corp as an option payment for the Iron Lake property at a value of \$0.025 per share. During the quarter the Company purchased 140,000 shares of Cariboo Rose Resources Ltd. at a cost of \$22,337 and purchased 30,000 shares of Fjordland at a cost of \$4,141.

#### Related Party Transactions

Transactions and balances with related parties are as follows: geological and exploration services paid by the Company on exploration projects during the quarter ended November 30, 2009 totalled \$5,549 (2008 - \$4,896) were provided by Mincord Exploration Consultants Ltd., a geological service company which is 100% owned by two directors of Eastfield.

#### Financial Instruments

The fair value of the Company's cash, accounts receivable, prepaid deposit, and accounts payable approximates their carrying amount due to the immediate or short-term maturity of these financial instruments.

#### Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of its consolidated financial statements requires the Company to use estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses. The Company's accounting policies are described in Note 2 to its consolidated financial statements. The Company's accounting policy related to its ongoing review of the carrying value of its resource properties is a critical accounting policy, which is subject to estimates and assumptions regarding reserves, recoveries, future gold prices and future mining activities.

The Company assesses its resource properties for impairment at the end of each accounting period. If prior estimates of future cash flows prove to be inaccurate, due to reductions in the price of gold or other minerals, increases in the cost of production, reductions in the amount of recoverable reserves, the Company would be required to write-down the recorded value of its resource properties, which would increase the Company's loss and reduce net assets.

#### International Financial Reporting Standards

By 2011, Canada will move to the same accounting standards as are used by publicly accountable enterprises in the EU and many other countries around the world. International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) are being promoted as a single set of high quality, understandable and enforceable global standards. "Publicly accountable enterprises" will be required to move to IFRS — that term includes publicly traded companies as well as other

## EASTFIELD RESOURCES LTD.

### Interim Management Discussion and Analysis For the Quarter Ended November 30, 2009

---

enterprises that hold assets in a fiduciary capacity for broad groups of outsiders. The Accounting Standards Board of Canada (AcSB) is planning the strategy for accounting standards that will apply to private businesses and not-for-profit organizations. Using IFRS should enable Canadian companies to increase their global reach, providing shareholders and regulators with financial information that has enhanced comparability and transparency. Companies should have easier access to international capital, funding, and investment opportunities.

Eastfield management has attended briefing seminars on the transition to IFRS, and we are awaiting the release of various exposure drafts and reports which will more clearly define the accounting standards for the mining exploration industry. The board of directors will strike a committee to oversee the IFRS transition.

A timetable for transition to IFRS is set out below.



### Risks and Uncertainties

The Company's principal activity is mineral exploration and development. Companies in this industry are subject to many and varied kinds of risks, including but not limited to, environmental, metal prices, political and economical.

One of the Company's mineral properties is in a foreign country and as such, that portion of the Company's business may be exposed to various and unpredictable levels of political, economic and other risks and uncertainties specific to those foreign countries.

Although the Company has taken steps to verify the title to mineral properties in which it has an interest, in accordance with industry standards for the current stage of exploration of such properties, these procedures do not guarantee the Company's title. Property title may be subject to unregistered prior agreements or transfers and title may be affected by undetected defects.

The Company has no significant source of operating cash flow and no revenues from operations. None of the Company's mineral properties currently have reserves. The Company has limited financial resources. Substantial expenditures are required to be made by the Company to establish ore reserves.

The property interests owned by the Company, or in which it has an option to earn an interest are in the exploration stages only, are without known bodies of commercial mineralization and have no ongoing mining operations. Mineral exploration involves a high degree of risk and few properties, which are explored, are ultimately developed into producing mines. Exploration of the Company's mineral projects may not result in any discoveries of commercial bodies of mineralization. If the Company's efforts do not result in any discovery of commercial mineralization, the Company will be forced to look for other exploration projects or cease operations.

The Company is subject to the laws and regulations relating to environmental matters in all jurisdictions in which it operates, including provisions relating to property reclamation, discharge of hazardous material and other matters. The Company may also be held liable should environmental problems be discovered that were caused by former owners and operators of its properties and properties in which it has previously had an interest.

### CEO/ CFO Certifications over Disclosure Controls and Internal Controls

#### Disclosure Controls

## **EASTFIELD RESOURCES LTD.**

### **Interim Management Discussion and Analysis For the Quarter Ended November 30, 2009**

---

The Company's Chief Financial Officer and Chief Executive Officer (the "Certifying Officers") are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (the "Procedures") which provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company under provincial securities legislation (the "Required Filings") is reported within the time periods specified. Without limitation, the Procedures are designed to ensure that material information relating to the Company is accumulated and communicated to management, including its Certifying Officers, as appropriate to allow for timely decisions regarding the Required Filings.

The Certifying Officers evaluate the effectiveness of the Company's Procedures on a regular basis throughout the year and have concluded that the Procedures in place as of the end of the period covered by the Required Filings are effective in providing reasonable assurance that material information relating to the Company is accumulated and communicated to management and reported within the periods specified.

#### **Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting**

The Company's Certifying Officers are responsible for establishing and maintaining internal controls over financial reporting ("Internal Controls") and have designed such Internal Controls, or caused them to be designed under their supervision, which provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with the Company's GAAP.

The Certifying Officers evaluate the Company's Internal Controls on a regular basis throughout the year and confirm that there were no changes in the Company's Internal Controls during the Company's most recent interim period that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's Internal Controls.